1	jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com Brian P. Biddinger (CSB # 224604) brian.biddinger@ropesgray.com ROPES & GRAY LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704 Tel: (212) 596-9000 Fax: (212) 596-9090 Stephen J. Rosenman (CSB # 170220) stephen.rosenman@ropesgray.com ROPES & GRAY LLP One Metro Center 700 12th Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005-3948 Tel: (202) 508-4600 Fax: (202) 508-4650 Gabrielle E. Higgins (CSB # 163179) gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com ROPES & GRAY LLP 1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor	Sarah Chapin Columbia (to be admitted pro hac vice) scolumbia@mwe.com Hasan Rashid (to be admitted pro hac vice) hrashid@mwe.com MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109-1775 Tel: 617-535-4000 Fax: 617-535-3800 Russell Hayman (CSB # 110643) rhayman@mwe.com Jon Dean (CSB # 184972) jdean@mwe.com MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218 Tel: 310-277-4110 Fax: 310-277-4730 Attorneys for Defendants BLACKBERRY LIMITED and BLACKBERRY CORPORATION
16 17	AMERICA, LLC UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRIC	
18	OAKLAND	
19))) C N C 14 2717 VCD
20	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC,) Case No: C-14-2717-YGR
21	Plaintiff,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
22	V.	SHOULD BE RELATED
23	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	,))
24	SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC f/k/a SAMSUNG))
25	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, L.P.,))
26 27	HIGH TECH COMPUTER CORP., a/k/a HTC CORP., H.T.C. (B.V.I.) CORP., AND HTC)
28	AMERICA, INC., Defendants.	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, in the matter of *Affinity Labs of Texas*, *LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.*, Case No. C-14-2717-YGR (N.D. Cal.) ("*Affinity v. Samsung I*"), the undersigned parties Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung"), BlackBerry Limited, and BlackBerry Corp. (collectively, "BlackBerry"), by and through their counsel, hereby respectfully submit this administrative motion requesting that the Court relate the following cases:¹

- Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.,
 Case No. 6:13-cv-00364-WSS (W.D. Tex.) ("Affinity v. Samsung II")
- Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. BlackBerry Limited, et al.,
 Case No. 6:13-cv-00362-WSS (W.D. Tex.) ("Affinity v. BlackBerry")

I. BACKGROUND

On November 20, 2012, Affinity filed the *Affinity v. Samsung I* action against Samsung and HTC in the Eastern District of Texas, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,187,947, U.S. Patent No. 7,324,833, U.S. Patent No. 7,634,228, and U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390. On February 15, 2013, Affinity filed an Amended Complaint, adding U.S. Patent No. 8,359,007. All five asserted patents are in the same "family" and share the same patent specification. On July 3, 2013, Samsung and HTC moved to transfer this action from the Eastern District of Texas to the Northern District of California. On September 18, 2013, Judge Clark conditionally granted Samsung's and HTC's motion to transfer, effective upon entry of the Court's claim construction Order on the '833 and '228 patents. On January 10, 2014, Judge Clark conducted a claim construction hearing on these patents, and on June 4, 2014 issued a claim construction Order and an Order transferring the *Affinity v. Samsung I* action from the Eastern District of Texas to the Northern District of California.

Affinity filed the *Affinity v. Samsung II* action against Samsung in the Western District of Texas on November 21, 2013, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,532,641. Affinity

¹ Defendants HTC Corp. and HTC America, Inc. (collectively, "HTC"), defendants in the *Affinity v. Samsung I* action, do not oppose the instant motion.

also filed the *Affinity v. BlackBerry* action against BlackBerry in the Western District of Texas on November 21, 2013, alleging infringement of the '641 patent. The '641 patent is in the same patent family and shares the same patent specification with the patents asserted in *Affinity v. Samsung II*. On February 20, 2014, Samsung moved to transfer the *Affinity v. Samsung II* action to the Northern District of California. On March 11, 2014, BlackBerry moved to transfer the *Affinity v. BlackBerry* action to the Northern District of California. On June 11, 2014, Judge Smith issued respective Orders transferring the *Affinity v. Samsung II* and *Affinity v. BlackBerry* actions from the Western District of Texas to the Northern District of California. (Hong Declaration, Exs. A and B).

II. THE CASES SHOULD BE DEEMED RELATED

In the Affinity v. Samsung I, Affinity v. Samsung II, and Affinity v. BlackBerry actions, the same Plaintiff asserts claims alleging infringement of patents from the same patent family. The patents in these actions all share the same specification and inventors, claim priority to the same patent application, and involve the same subject matter. Furthermore, the Affinity v. Samsung I and Affinity v. Samsung II actions, with respect to Samsung, involve the same parties, the same accused products, the same technology, and the same patent family. The Affinity v. Samsung II and Affinity v. BlackBerry actions involve the same patent and were previously consolidated in the Western District of Texas for pretrial issues before being transferred to the Northern District of California.

The Affinity v. Samsung I, Affinity v. Samsung II, and Affinity v. BlackBerry actions are therefore related because the actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event, and it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges. See Civil L.R. 3-12. An order relating these actions will serve the interests of judicial economy by avoiding the duplication of labor and expense that would likely result from conducting these cases in an uncoordinated manner.

1	III.	CONCLUSION
2		For the foregoing reasons, Defendants Samsung and Blackberry respectfully request that
3	the Co	ourt enter an order relating the Affinity v. Samsung I, Affinity v. Samsung II, and Affinity v.
4	Black	Berry actions.
5		Respectfully submitted,
6	Juna 1	By /s/ Gabrielle E. Higgins
7	June	Jesse J. Jenner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
8		jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com Brian P. Biddinger (CSB # 224604)
9		brian.biddinger@ropesgray.com ROPES & GRAY LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas
10		New York, New York 10036-8704 Tel: (212) 596-9000
11		Fax: (212) 596-9090
12		Stephen J. Rosenman (CSB # 170220) stephen.rosenman@ropesgray.com
13		ROPES & GRAY LLP One Metro Center
14		700 12th Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005-3948
15		Tel: (202) 508-4600 Fax: (202) 508-4650
16		Gabrielle E. Higgins (CSB # 163179)
17		gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com ROPES & GRAY LLP
18		1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor East Palo Alto, California 94303-2284
19		Tel: (650) 617-4000 Fax: (650) 617-4090
20		Attorneys for Defendants
21		SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
22		and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
23		TIMBRICH, EDC
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	By /s/ Jon Dean
2	Sarah Chapin Columbia
	(to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) scolumbia@mwe.com
3	Hasan Rashid (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
4	hrashid@mwe.com MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
5	28 State Street
	Boston, MA 02109-1775 Tel: 617-535-4000
6	Fax: 617-535-3800
7	Russell Hayman (CSB # 110643)
8	rhayman@mwe.com
9	Jon Dean (CSB # 184972) idean@mwe.com
9	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
10	2049 Century Park East, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218
11	Tel: 310-277-4110
12	Fax: 310-277-4730
	Attorneys for Defendants BLACKBERRY LIMITED and
13	BLACKBERRY CORPORATION
14	
15	I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Jon Dean,
	_
	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16	_
	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16	_
16 17	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	whose conformed signature is set forth above.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	whose conformed signature is set forth above.